

Applicant : Gigi C. Gordon  
Appln. No. : 09/805,313  
Page : 2

intended cleaning and the specific intended cleaning application of each of the plurality of cleaning articles, the identifying indicia specifying the specific intended cleaning application of each of the plurality of cleaning articles, wherein the specific intended cleaning application for each one of the plurality of cleaning articles is distinguished from the others of the plurality of cleaning articles to prevent interchange between specific intended cleaning applications.

#### REMARKS

The Examiner's comments and Final Office Action mailed April 16, 2002, have been carefully considered. The Examiner's objections to the drawings 1-4 have been noted. The Examiner's rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-17 under *Channer* (U.S. Patent No. D. 403,813) as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) is noted. The Examiner's rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Channer* and further in view of *Gray* (U.S. Patent No. D. 182,118) is noted. The Examiner's rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Ruth* (U.S. Patent No. D. 119,772) is noted. The Examiner's rejection of claim 4 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as unpatentable under *Ruth* and further in view of *Gray* is noted.

#### Objections of the Drawings

The Examiner objected to the drawings 1-4. The Examiner noted that each of the figures must be separately labeled, i.e., Fig. 1A, Fig. 1B, etc. The Applicant will provide corrected drawings upon receipt of a Notice of Allowance in this application.

#### Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C. §103 in View of *Channer*

The Examiner rejected claims 1-3 and 5-17 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over *Channer*. The Examiner noted that *Channer* discloses a sponge scrubber